

**REMARKS**

Claims 1 to 9 and 14 to 16 are canceled.

Claims 10, 17, and 21 have been amended. They have each been placed into independent method claim form, by incorporating the subject matter defined, respectively, in system claims 5, 6, and 7, from which they previously depended. These claims have been further amended to overcome the objections to claims 5, 6, and 7 and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112 of claims 7 and 21 to 24.

Claims 10 to 13 and 17 to 24 remain in the application. Of these, claims 10; 17; and 21 are the independent method claims.

Reexamination and reconsideration are respectfully requested in light of the amendments and the remarks that follow.

Claims 10 to 13 and 17 to 24 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting based, in part, upon Osorio et al U.S. 6,726,691. Applicant submits herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome this rejection.

Allowance of claims 10 to 13 and 17 to 24 as amended is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

By

Daniel D. Ryan

Registration No. 29,243

RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.

Post Office Box 26618

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53226

(262) 783 - 1300

Customer No.: 26308